

State of Illinois,

SS.

Randolph County,

John T. Pittman

This day personally appeared before the undersigned
Sheriff in and for said County of Randolphand jointly and severally acknowledged themselves to owe and be indebted unto the People of the State of Illinois, the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, tobe levied of their goods and chatties, lands and tenements, if default be made in the premises and conditions following, to wit:
WHEREAS, a true bill of indictment was preferred and found against the above boundenJames Harmon
by the Grand Jury of said County, at the September Term, A. D. 1877, of said Court, and upon hearing the testimony of all the witnesses present (they having been duly sworn), it was adjudged and required by said Court that he give bonds, as required by the statute in such case made and provided, for his appearance to answer to said charge. Now the condition of this recognizance is, such that if the above boundenJames Harmon
shall personally be and appear before the Circuit Court of the said County of Randolph on the first day of the next term thereof, to be holden in the Court House in Chicago on the 11th day of March A. D. 1877, and from day to day thereafter, until discharged by order of said Court, then and there to answer to the said People of the State of Illinois, on the said indictment for an assault with intent to kill and murder

and then and there to abide the order and judgment of said Court, and not depart the same without leave, then and in that case this recognizance to become void: otherwise to be in full force and virtue.

As witness our hands and seals this 23^d day of January A. D. 1877
Taken, entered into and approved, before me,
this 23^d day of January 1877 James Harmon

Sheriff of

County.

L.S.
L.S.
L.S.

CIRCUIT COURT SUBPOENA.-Valley Clarion, Print.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RANDOLPH COUNTY, } SS.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS:

Do the Sheriff of Randolph County-Obeying:

We Command You to Summon Mrs. Archibald Stewart
Wm. A. Ellenbogen, Clara Brady, Mrs. Sarah Devilly,

A. H. Williams, Wm. McPherson, Council Hill, Mo.

to be and appear before the Circuit Court of said County, on the 11th day
of the next term thereof, to be holden at the Court House, in the city of Chicago, onthe Monday in the month of March to testify and

the truth to speak in a certain matter of controversy pending in said Court, wherein

the People are Plaintiffs andJames Harmon is Defendant onbehalf of Wm. A. Ellenbogen and these this well.

Wm. A. SAVINEN ST. VRAIN,

Clerk of our said Court and the Judicial Seat

thereof, at his office in the city of Chicago, this

23^d day of March 1877

J. H. Mann Clerk.

SUBPOENA.

Randolph County Circuit Court

IN THE MATTER OF

The People

VS.

Jesse H. Brown

Subpoena on the part of

People

STATE OF ILLINOIS,

RANDOLPH COUNTY,

March 24, 1871

I have duly served the within by read.

ing the same to the within named.....

John B. Brown

as I am therein commanded.

John B. Brown

Fees—Service.....

Mileage.....

Return.....

Attorney.

SHERIFF'S RECOGNIZANCE.

No.

Brown

The People of the State of Illinois,

VS

Jesse H. Brown

Indicted for

Term, 186

\$

Recognizance,.....

Cost,.....

Chilver, Page & Hoynes, Stationers, Chicago.

[illegible]

Filed March 23^d 1891
J. H. Brown
C112

People
are
Lament
March 23^d

While of Illinois } the the present account
Crawdolph County } as the the present account

the people.

ms } Account with interest &
James Thompson }

at all times for the
of said court will follow in the
for the following witnesses in the
outlier cases on part of the
my Mrs John Thompson, John
John H. Thompson, George H. Thompson,
Mary Cook, Mrs. A. Thompson, the
Thompson, the Thompson, the
James, John H. Thompson, W. H. Thompson,
Joseph Thompson, James Thompson,
John H. Thompson, John H. Thompson,
West Thompson, Thomas H. Thompson,

returnable as above and all
except for service as above

the the present
the the present

The People

as 3

James Thurman

Account with interest

40.

Received for office
for 25th anniversary

Filed March 23 1871
J. F. Syme Clerk

105

And I ~~the~~ ^{my} have my reasons
in doubt of ~~the~~ ^{my} spirit ~~the~~ ^{my} should
under a protest of Paul Smith.
My respect

The my are instructed ^{that} the notice
should be an existence whatever
the spirit of the ~~action~~,
Refers

The my are instructed that the last
that the should my should an individual
experience the ~~difficult~~ ^{is} an existence ~~that~~ ^{is}
~~the~~ ^{an} ~~experience~~ ^{have} in this trial Refers

Feb. 24th 1891
Y. G. Brown

W. A. Mumford

Joe Miller
CA
Tanner

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RANDOLPH COUNTY,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

TO THE SHERIFF OF RANDOLPH COUNTY GREETING:

The Command Now to Summon

General Hamilton James St. Paul

to be and appear before the Circuit Court of said County, on the 21st day
of the next term thereof, to be holden at the COURT HOUSE, in the CITY OF CHESTER, on
the 21st Monday in the month of March will to testify and
the truth to speak in a certain matter of controversy pending in said Court, wherein

the People are Plaintiff and
James St. Paul Defendant on
behalf of left and have you then and there this writ.

Witness, **SARIMEN ST. WALSH**, Clerk of our said
Court, and the Judicial Seal thereof, at his Office, in the
CITY OF CHESTER, this 21st day
of March 1871

J. St. Paul CLERK.

Circuit Court Subpoena.-Valley Clarion, Print, Chester, Ills.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RANDOLPH COUNTY,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

TO THE SHERIFF OF RANDOLPH COUNTY GREETING:

The Command Now to Summon

John C. McClinton John Hamilton West Miller

to Edward Wilson

to be and appear before the Circuit Court of said County, on the 21st day
of the next term thereof, to be holden at the COURT HOUSE, in the CITY OF CHESTER, on
the 21st Monday in the month of March will to testify and
the truth to speak in a certain matter of controversy pending in said Court, wherein

the People are Plaintiff and
James St. Paul Defendant on
behalf of left and have you then and there this writ.

Witness, **SARIMEN ST. WALSH**, Clerk of our said
Court, and the Judicial Seal thereof, at his Office, in the
CITY OF CHESTER, this 21st day
of March 1871

J. St. Paul CLERK.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RANDOLPH COUNTY,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

TO THE SHERIFF OF RANDOLPH COUNTY - GREETING:

We Command You to Summon

Wm. David McMillan

and
J. J. Chapman

to be and appear before the Circuit Court of said County, on the 31st day

of the next term thereof, to be holden at the COURT HOUSE, in the CITY OF CHESTER, on
the 31st Monday in the month of March next to testify and

the truth to speak in a certain matter of controversy pending in said Court, wherein

McMillan vs Chapman
Plaintiff and
Defendant on
behalf of *left* and have you then and there this writ.

Witness, *Savvier St. Vrain*, Clerk of our said
Court, and the Judicial Seal thereof, at his Office, in the
CITY OF CHESTER, this 21st day
of March 1871

S. St. Vrain CLERK.

Circuit Court Subpoena. - Valley Clarion, Print, Chester, Ill.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RANDOLPH COUNTY,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

TO THE SHERIFF OF RANDOLPH COUNTY - GREETING:

We Command You to Summon

Wm. David McMillan
and
J. J. Chapman

to be and appear before the Circuit Court of said County, on the 31st day

of the next term thereof, to be holden at the COURT HOUSE, in the CITY OF CHESTER, on
the 31st Monday in the month of March next to testify and

the truth to speak in a certain matter of controversy pending in said Court, wherein

McMillan vs Chapman
Plaintiff and
Defendant on
behalf of *left* and have you then and there this writ.

Witness, *Savvier St. Vrain*, Clerk of our said
Court, and the Judicial Seal thereof, at his Office, in the
CITY OF CHESTER, this 21st day
of March 1871

S. St. Vrain CLERK.

Coram No 11

SUBPOENA.

RANDOLPH COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT.

In the Matter of

Dr. Peck

vs.

James D. Weston

Subpoena on the part of

West

STATE OF ILLINOIS }

RANDOLPH COUNTY.

Mar 13 -

1871

I have duly served the within by reading the same to the within named

Two James D. Weston

John D. West

James M. Turner

Everett Murphy

as I am therein commanded.

SHERIFF

John M. Weston

Fees—Service

2.00

Mileage

4.00

Return

1.00

610

ATTOENEY.

SUBPOENA.

RANDOLPH COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT.

In the Matter of

Dr. Peck

vs.

James D. Weston

Subpoena on the part of

West

STATE OF ILLINOIS }

RANDOLPH COUNTY.

Mar 13 -

1871

I have duly served the within by reading the same to the within named

Dr. Daniel M. Marshall

Mr. D. Alexander

Dr. S. S. George

Frank Temple

as I am therein commanded.

SHERIFF

John M. Weston

Fees—Service

2.00

Mileage

4.00

Return

1.00

610

ATTOENEY.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, RANDOLPH COUNTY.—SS.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, TO THE SHERIFF OF RANDOLPH CO.—GREETING.

We command you that you take James Davison

if he shall be found in your County, and leave safely by his so that you may have him before the Judge of said Circuit Court, within and for the county aforesaid, on the first day of the next Term thereof, to be commenced and holden at the Court House in the city of Chester, in said county, on the 5th day of March next, to answer unto the Precept of the State of Illinois of and concerning a certain bill of Indictment, for Kidnaping with which he the said James Davison

stands charged in our Circuit Court, which said bill was preferred against him by the Grand Jury of said county, as in that behalf appears; and have you then and there this writ, and make return thereon as to the manner in which you have executed the same.

Witness Davison St. Union, Clerk of said Court, and the Judicial Seat thereof, this

1st day of January, A. D. 1891

William Clark

I have executed this writ by arresting
 the within named James Farnum, and
 taken bond for his appearance at the next
 term of court. / John T. McBride Shff
 Jan'y 23^d 1871 By - B. Whitmore Clk

James Farnum on Court
 Marshal's bond n 10 1871

Sh. P. R. R.

James Farnum

appeared with in bond to him
 to receive

Cedimus

Shops & Seco

James Farnum 2.00

James Farnum 1.00

James Farnum 2.10

\$5.10

to be paid to him on \$5.00
 of James Farnum

The People }
vs }
James Farnam }

The Court instructs the jury, at the instance of the prosecution as follows

1st While, under the law, ~~no~~ man may take the life of another in self defense. To prevent either the loss of his life, or to save himself from suffering serious bodily harm, yet this is only true when he is honestly endeavoring to avoid an encounter, and to escape a difficulty, and if they believe from the evidence that the defendant sought a difficulty with Booth with the intention of inflicting upon said Booth a serious bodily injury, that he went armed to where Booth stood quietly, and used such language as was calculated to provoke or bait the law holder him, the defendant, responsible for the consequences of his act. And if a conflict ensued caused by the use of such language, in which defendant did fire at Booth with a pistol with intent to kill or kill Booth they should find defendant guilty.

Green

M

The jury are further instructed
that a defendant cannot avail
himself of necessary self defense, if the
necessity of that defense was brought
on by the deliberate and lawless acts
of the defendant, or an attempt on his
part to provoke a fight ~~with intent~~ or
~~the~~ with another, intending to inflict
upon that other a ^{serious} bodily harm - and if
the jury believe from the evidence that
defendant provoked a quarrel, with intent
to inflict upon that ^{serious} bodily harm, he
can not justify his subsequent acts by the
plea of necessary self defense.

Given

The jury are further instructed, that while
a man may be free, and even take life
in defense of his life - to save himself from doing
bodily harm, yet only so much force must
be used as is necessary for self protection - and
when the danger has ceased, the force en-
-dorsed to exert it must cease - and if you
believe from the evidence that Barth assaulted
Fornan with a pistol, and that he afterwards
turned and himself endeavored to escape, and
to avoid further difficulty - and while ^{he} ~~was~~
endeavoring to escape, the defendant followed
him up and continued to shoot at

him ~~to kill him~~ with intent to kill
him ~~in the manner of a~~ ^{in the manner of a} defendant
guilty -

Given

The jury are further instructed that
if ~~in~~ their judgment the evidence justifies
it they may acquit the defendant of
the charge of an assault with intent
to murder - and find him guilty of
an assault with intent to inflict a
bodily injury

Given

all the jury are further instructed. "That
a reasonable doubt is a clear & positive
upon reason. Such a doubt is not
influence on business, nor the ordinary
affairs of life, and unless the jury feel
such a reasonable doubt of the guilt
of the defendant they should find defendant
~~guilty~~ Not Guilty

be asked that soft. May be considered
of account in the respect of the person with
whom to subject upon the person of
another a bodily injury. It must
be shown that the person who
caused the injury was acting in a
the circumstances that showed
the defendant and that defendant
had on the part of the defendant.
Cave.

The Court instructs the jury that
it is a good defence in justification
even of a homicide, to prove
that the defendant assaulted the
assendant first, and that the
defendant committed the alleged
assault in his ^{necessary} defence.
Given

The Court instructs the
jury that to snatch a pistol at
the breast of another, at a dis-
tance of 100 yds., is a dis-
tance which, had it been 100 yds., it
would have killed is suddenly
wounded such person; is the
eyes of the law in assault.
Upon such persons Given

The Court instructs the jury that
to snatch a pistol from the
breast of another, at a distance of 100 yds.,
is a dis-
tance which, had it been 100 yds., it
would have killed is suddenly
wounded such person; is the
eyes of the law in assault.
Upon such persons Given

Given

The Court instructs the jury that
they should be slow to convict
a crime such as charged against
the accused; that the question of
the law is that it is better the
guilty crime shall go unpunished
than that the innocent
shall suffer.

The Court instructs the jury
that they are the judges of the
law as well as the facts in
this case.

You can't instruct the jury
that every personification is in fact
of imputed the accused; — the very
ground is disavowed to be innocent
until he is proven guilty. Griffin

You can't further instruct the
jury that the guilt of the accused
must be made out beyond
a reasonable doubt; — Given
that a juror has no measure of
evidence will not do ~~it~~ but the
guilt must be proven beyond a
reasonable doubt. — Griffin

the jury are indicted that if they believe
from the evidence that if death had
indeed been the shooting charged to have
been done by the defendant & that the
evidence is sufficient to establish
beyond reasonable doubt a case of murder
I thought that you can rest your lin-
guists of an opponent with the indict-
ment as charged in the
indictment & Grinn

that if the jury believe from the evidence
that the defendant committed the
the case that the defendant at the
time he did the shooting charged,
was justified in believing & do believe
that he was justified in believing that
or that he was justified in believing that
from the hands of David & of all the
and that he defended against the present
position of his own life & the
preservation of his own life & the
to do the shooting. then your
verdict should be not guilty.

Grinn

The jury are instructed that when one
is threatened & menaced ^{by} with what would
appear to a reasonable ^{man} to be imminent danger
he is not required to wait & see the extent
of the danger before taking the action
such took a reasonable prudent man
would suppose that it was necessary to
take the life of his assailant then he is
justified in doing so. Given